## **Using Student Satisfaction Index as Quality Determinant**

Quamrul Mazumder<sup>a</sup>, Connie Lam<sup>b</sup>, Kawshik Ahmed<sup>c</sup>, and Mei Liu<sup>d</sup>

This study attempts to examine the relationship between the quality and the level of student satisfaction in terms of faculty, curriculum, university resources and extra-curricular activity. Quality of education is an important factor both in public and private universities of Bangladesh. There is a lack of understanding of the factors that may affect the quality of higher education. This study used a modified Noel-Levitz student satisfactory survey consisting of twenty-two questions to determine the students' overall level of satisfaction, by categorizing the questions in four different areas. The survey was conducted among 3 (three) public universities and 2 (two) private universities of Bangladesh. The sample is consisted of 262 students from public and 256 students from private universities. Among the 518 students 51% of the students are from public universities and 49% of the students are from private universities, which indicates distribution of population. The results showed that the students from private universities are more satisfied. Gap (importance-satisfaction) analysis between importance and satisfaction revealed the lower gap in private universities. Both public and private universities improve quality of higher education at their institution by using the results of the study.

- 1. Cheng, Y., & Tam, W. (1997). Multi-Models of Quality in Education. Quality Assurance in Education, 5(1), 22-31. http://libproxy.umflint.edu:2072/10.1108/09684889710156558
- Mohsin, Mohd; Kamal, Md Aktar. International Journal of Business and Management7. 20 (Oct 2012): 59-70.
- 3. Alam, G. M., & Khalifa, M. T. T. Bozbura et. Al., "A Causal Model of Quality Management Practices and Stakeholder Interests in Higher Education Institutions: Comparison of Public and Private Universities," in PICMET Technology Management in the Energy Smart World, 2011 © *IEEE*.
- 4. Kanji, Gopal K; Abdul Malek Bin A Tambi. Total Quality Management 10. 1 (Jan 1999): 129-153.
- 5. D. Edens, "Predicting For-Profit Student Persistence Using the Student Satisfaction Inventory," Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. Higher Education, Asuza Pacific Univ., Azusa, CA, 2012.
- 6. S. Hadikoemoro, "A Comparison of Public and Private University Students' Expectations and Perceptions of Service Quality in Jakarta, Indonesia," Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. Bus. Admin. Nova Southeastern Univ., FL, 2001.
- 7. Jamal, Shawkat A. N. M. (2002). Role of Private Universities in Human Resource Development.
- 8. Monem, M., & Bainamin, H. M. (2010). Higher Education in Bangladesh: Status, Issues and Prospects. Pakistan Journal of Social Science, 30(2), 293-305.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> University of Michigan-Flint, Flint, MI, 48502 Email: <a href="mailto:quazumde@umflint.edu">quazumde@umflint.edu</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> University of Michigan-Flint, Flint, MI, 48502 Email: colam@umflint.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> University of Michigan-Flint, Flint, MI, 48502 Email: <u>kawshika@umflint.edu</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> University of Michigan-Flint, Flint, MI, 48502 Email: meliu@umflint.edu

- 9. B. (2009). The impact of introducing a business marketing approach to education: A study on private higher education in Bangladesh. Afr. J. Bus. Manager, 3(9), 463-474.
- 10. Glossop C (2001). Student nurse attrition from pre-registration courses: investigating methodological issues. Nurse Educ. Today. 21(3): 170-80.
- 11. Eley MG, Stecher EJ (1997). A comparison of two response scale formats used in teaching evaluation questionnaires. Assess. Eval. High. Educ. 22(1): 65-79.
- 12. Andaleeb, Syed Saad. (2003). Revitalizing Higher Education in Bangladesh: Insights from Alumni and Policy Prescriptions. Higher Education Policy, 16(4). <a href="http://libproxy.umflint.edu:2072/10.1057/palgrave.hep.8300036">http://libproxy.umflint.edu:2072/10.1057/palgrave.hep.8300036</a>
- 13. Chapple M, Murphy R (1996). The nominal group technique: extending the evaluation of students' teaching and learning experiences. Assess. Eval. High. Educ. 21: 147-158.